





Actuarial Valuation of Retired Employees' Health Benefits Other Postemployment Benefits as of December 31, 2015

Based on report prepared by The Segal Company for the Committee on Actuarial Valuation of Retired Employees' Health Benefits

Board of Trustees Meeting

December 1, 2016

A Division of the Department of State Treasurer

Presentation Overview

- Background
- Committee on Actuarial Valuation of Retired Employees' Health Benefits
- Valuation Process
- Results
- Summary
 - GASB Statements 74 and 75



Background

- The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) sets accounting standards for public and governmental entities to provide uniformity in financial reporting
- GASB statements 43 and 45 require governmental entities to disclose information on liabilities associated with Other Postemployment Benefits (OPEB), notably retiree health benefits
- Objective: To report in today's dollars the State's liability associated with retiree health benefits
- GASB statements 74 and 75 approved on June 2, 2015 will alter OPEB calculations and reporting in the coming years



Committee on Actuarial Valuation of Retired Employees' Health Benefits

- Established to conduct the annual OPEB valuation
- Committee consists of:
 - State Budget Officer (as Chair)
 - State Controller
 - State Treasurer
 - Executive Administrator of the State Health Plan
- Committee's responsibilities:
 - Select actuary (can choose the Plan's actuary or Retirement's actuary)
 - Collect data
 - Review actuarial assumptions to be used in the valuation
 - Report results



Valuation Process

OPEB VALUATION BASICS





Valuation Census

• As of December 31, 2015, there were 587,967 employees, former employees, and retirees eligible for retiree health benefits





Results: Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL)



- UAAL increased \$5.9 billion from December 31, 2014, to December 31, 2015
- The biggest impact on the UAAL was an experience study completed last year for the State Retirement System that impacted retiree mortality expectations
 - · Pharmacy costs and trend also played a role in the increase
 - Plan design changes and medical claims experience reduced the UAAL slightly



Results: Annual Required Contribution (ARC)

- The ARC would be comprised of two components:
 - The cost to finance the UAAL over a 30-year period
 - The annual payment for this component would be \$1.201 billion
 - The cost for the additional liability that is accrued in the current year (also called the "normal cost")
 - The normal cost for 2015 is estimated at \$1.310 billion
- As shown in the chart below, the total ARC would be \$2.5 billion and would have required the commitment of 16.0% of payroll in FY 2015-16

	12/31/08	12/31/09	12/31/10	12/31/11	12/31/12	12/31/13	12/31/14	12/31/15
Amortization of Unfunded Liability	\$1.0 b	\$1.2 b	\$1.2 b	\$1.1 b	\$0.8 b	\$0.9 b	\$1.0 b	\$1.2 b
Normal Cost	\$1.7 b	\$1.8 b	1.7 b	\$1.4 b	\$1.2 b	\$1.3 b	\$1.2 b	\$1.3 b
ARC	\$2.7 b	\$3.0 b	\$2.9 b	\$2.5 b	\$2.0 b	\$2.2 b	\$2.2 b	\$2.5 b
As % of Payroll	17.5%	19.9%	19.3%	16.7%	13.5%	14.8%	14.2%	16.0%



Key Takeaways: December 31, 2015 Valuation

- The unfunded OPEB liability is \$5.9 billion higher than the previous year
 - The largest driver of the increase is an experience study completed last year for the State Retirement System
 - Even with the new demographic data from the experience study, the liability remains just below the 2009 and 2010 valuations
- Like the unfunded liability, the ARC is higher than it was last year
 - Increase from \$2.2 billion to \$2.5 billion
 - The percent of payroll necessary to pay the ARC increased from 14.21% in Fiscal Year 2014-15 to 16.00% in Fiscal Year 2015-16
- OPEB liabilities are currently *noted* on the State's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) but are not part of the State's balance sheet

GASB statements 74 and 75:

- Require OPEB liabilities to be listed as balance sheet items beginning with Fiscal Year 2017-18
- Introduce greater standardization of the assumptions used to calculate OPEB liabilities
- Will likely push states to think about and begin developing long-term OPEB funding strategies

